



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/706,215	11/12/2003	Timothy Allen	81044345	81044345 6309		
75	90 03/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Donald Wilkinson			PANG, ROGER L			
MacMillan Sobanski & Todd Suite 405			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
38705 W. Sever	n Mile Road	3681	3681			
Livonia, MI 4	8152		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>र्</u> य			· /
		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	1
. 1		10/706,215		ALLEN ET AL.	
V	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Roger L Pang		3681	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	~ess
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi e, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day te SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-fi ince except for f	ormal matters, pro		merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from conside			
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) or drawing(s) be he cition is required if	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents nu (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive .2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. Notice of Informal F		152)
Pap	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-12-03</u>	<i>'</i> –	Other:	Endudam (1 102	·,

Application/Control Number: 10/706,215

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The following action is in response to application 10/706,215 filed on November 12, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16-21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kato.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, 14-15, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claims 1, 20, and 22, respectively, above, and further in view of Williams '603. Kato teaches the transfer mechanism, but lacks the specific teaching wherein the coupler 52 is a synchronizer. Williams teaches a range clutch 82 with a coupler that is a synchronizer (paragraph 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kato to employ a synchronizer as a coupler in further view of Williams in order to prevent shift shock.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Oun has been cited to show a range transmission with the ring gear coupled to either the

case or the input sun gear.

Brown and Williams '159 have been cited to show similar transfer mechanisms.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile

number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and

examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to

submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place

the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing

and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete

list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general,

most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but

charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses

requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile

transmission separately from the check.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,215

Art Unit: 3681

(Signature)

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on(Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the

original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L Pang whose telephone number is 703-305-0445. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roger L Pang Patent Examiner Art Unit 3681

March 10, 2005